



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,801	01 08/03/2001		Taher Elgamal	06975-193002	8214
26171	7590	07/19/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC		N P.C.	KLIMACH, P	KLIMACH, PAULA W	
1425 K STREET, N.W. 11TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	٠.	
•				DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/920,801	ELGAMAL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TL. MAN INC DATE OU	Paula W Klimach	2135
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-48 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat wity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to amendment filed on 4/19/04 (Paper No. 6). Original application contained Claims 1-4. Applicant added Claims 31-48, cancelled Claims 1-4. The amendment filed on 4/19/04 have been entered and made of record. Therefore, presently pending claims are 31-48.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive due to the new grounds of rejection given below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 31 and 40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim discloses "...accessing a policy file that reflects a <u>state</u> associated with the policy file..." It is indefinite as to whether the applicant means the state, condition of the policy as evidenced in the specification Page 5 lines 19-29, or the state, the country associated with the policy as evidenced in the specification Page 10 lines 13-18.

To expedite a complete examination of the instant application definition that the office will take is that state refers to the country associated with the policy.

Application/Control Number: 09/920,801

Art Unit: 2135

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31, 38-39, 40, and 47-48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klemba et al (5,651,068).

In reference to claim 31 and 40, Klemba an apparatus and method for controlling cryptographic functions of an application program wherein the processor is configured to access a policy that reflects a state associated with the policy (column 6 line 20 in combination with column 7 lines 19-24) and that includes an attribute portion configured to store one or more cryptographic policy attributes and a value portion having one or more attribute values, each attribute value corresponding to a cryptographic policy attribute and indicating whether an application program may use the cryptographic policy represented by the cryptographic policy attribute (column 6 lines 16-46). The information provided by the NFC during the initialization messages indicates attributes used by the policy. Further the processor selectively retrieves at least one of encryption information and decryption information from the policy file (column 6 line 58 to column7 line 18). The NFC retrieves the encryption algorithm for the CU to carry out the encryption (column 6 lines 27) and a back door for a third party to decrypt (column 7 lines 1-16). Further the processor selectively processes the retrieved encryption information and decryption information from the policy file in accordance with a predetermined capability

Art Unit: 2135

condition and provides at least one of allowable encryption levels and decryption levels to the application program (column 5 lines 47-55).

Although Klemba discloses a cryptographic policy that collects data, Klemba does not expressly disclose that the policy is a file.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to describe the policy in the NFC of Klemba as the claimed policy file. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because a file is a collection of data and the information stored on the NFC is a collection of data that is used by the CU.

In reference to claims 38 and 47, wherein each of the cryptographic policy attributes an indication of the cryptographic capabilities of the application program (column 5 lines 31-46), and each of the attribute values is one of a string, an integer number, and a truth expression (column 6 lines 16-45).

In reference to claims 39 and 48, wherein the truth expression is one of a true flag, a false flag, and a conditional flag (column 6 lines 16-46).

Claims 32-33 and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klemba as applied to claims 31 and 40 above, and further in view of Anderl et al (WO 87/07063).

Klemba does not expressly disclose the policy file comprising a JAVA archive file..

Anderl discloses the storage of multiple files on a smart card (page 2 lines 19-29). JAR files are Java class files. A smart card can contain multiple files as evidenced by Anderl;

Art Unit: 2135

therefore can contain JAR files. The JAR files may contain digital signatures which are used for security as the files in Anderl that are credentials used for security.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to keep multiple files as in the file of Anderl in the system of Klemba. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the amount of files stored in a smart card is only limited by the amount of memory made available in the smart card. In addition the policy of Klemba can be divided into sub domain and files are a convenient method of organizing data.

In reference to claims 33 and 42, wherein the policy file comprises multiple component files, at least one of the component files storing some of the attribute portions and attribute values.

Although Klemba discloses the a states policies having sub domains (column 5 lines 31-55), Klemba does not discloses the policy being stored in multiple files

Anderl discloses the storage of multiple files on a smart card (page 2 lines 19-29).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to keep multiple files as in the file of Anderl in the system of Klemba. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the amount of files stored in a smart card is only limited by the amount of memory made available in the smart card. In addition the policy of Klemba can be divided into sub domain and files are a convenient method of organizing data.

Art Unit: 2135

Claims 36-37 and 45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klemba as applied to claims 31 and 40 above, and further in view of and Schneier.

In reference to claims 36 and 45, although Klemba discloses the authentication of the NFC with the NCC and therefore a form of security, Klemba does not disclose a form of security including a digital signature portion including at least one digital certificate for ensuring that the policy file has not been modified.

Schneier discloses the use of digital signatures to secure documents and make them unalterable (page 37 and 38)

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use digital signatures as in Schneier to secure the policies of Klemba. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would ensure that the policy has not been altered and therefore encryption that is illegal in that nation-X is discouraged.

In reference to claims 37 and 46, wherein the signature portion applies to the policy file. The signature disclosed by Schneier refers to the part of the document that should not be altered in this case it would include the policy.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/920,801

Art Unit: 2135

01 Page 7

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W Klimach whose telephone number is (703) 305-8421. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thr 9:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PWK

Monday, July 12, 2004

LUZRVISORY PATENT EXALT

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2123